1 2	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
3 4 5 6 7 8	In the Matter of  MUR 6156  RON PAUL 2008 PRESIDENTIAL  CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE AND DEANA WATTS, AS TREASURER  CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE SUPPLIES OF THE CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE DEANA WATTS, AS TREASURER  CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE
9 10	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT
11	Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated
12	are
13	forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal 1. The Commission has
14	determined that pursuing low-rated matters compared to other higher-rated matters on the
15	Enforcement docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss these cases
16	The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 6156 as a low-rated matter
17	The complainant, Jay Weeldreyer, a former employee of the Ron Paul 2008
18	Presidential Campaign Committee and Deana Watts, in her official capacity as treasurer ("the
19	Committee"), claims that the Committee accepted an excessive contribution in the form of
20	uncompensated expenses allegedly incurred by him on behalf of the Committee
21	Mr Weekdreyer, who had made the maximum \$2,300 contribution in 2007 for then-
22	presidential candidate Ron Paul's primary election race, asserts that he was hired as a field
23	director by the Committee from December 2007 through Pebruary 2008 During that time,
24	Mr Weeldreyer asserts that he incurred a total of \$5,722 11 in expenses on behalf of the
25	campaign, which he later amended to \$5,812 70 Although the Committee repaid him
26	\$4,020 19, Mr Weeldreyer alleges that the Committee declined to pay him the remaining
L	

Case Closure Under EPS - MUR 6156 General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 3

- balance of \$1,792 51 Therefore, Mr Weeldreyer charges that the Committee accepted an
- 2 excessive contribution from him in that amount, in violation of 2 U S C § 441a(f) and 11
- 3 CFR § 1109
- 4 In response, the Committee maintains that it believed the complainant's claim was
- 5 settled nearly ten months prior to the complaint being filed. According to the Committee, it
- 6 understood that that Mr Weeldreyer, an independent contractor, had a contract with the
- 7 Committee whereby the Committee agreed to reimburse him for campaign-related expenses,
- 8 but not for personal expenses or for charges not authorized by the Committee Nonetheless,
- 9 the Committee maintains that Mr Weeldreyer submitted an expense account in February 2008
- 10 for \$5,722 11.2 which, according to the Committee, included personal and unauthorized
- 11 expenses Therefore, the Committee asserts that it chose not to reimburse Mr Weeldreyer for
- 12 these expenses The Committee cites to an Advisory Opinion for the proposition that contract
- 13 disputes between political committees and others are matters of state law and, therefore,
- outside of the Commission's jurisdiction See AO 1981-42 Moreover, the Committee also
- 15 claims that unreimbursed expenses do not constitute a contribution under 2 U S C
- 16 § 431(8)(a)(1), since such costs are not authorized by the Committee and, thus, not incurred
- 17 for the purpose of influencing a federal election
- 18 It appears that the amount in dispute in this matter is between \$1,701 92 and \$1,792 51
- 19 The amount is based on alleged unreimbursed expenses incurred by the complainant on behalf
- 20 of the Committee We note that the disputed debt was not reported as such by the Committee,
- 21 but also acknowledge the Committee's claim that it was unaware that the debt was in dispute

In its response, the Committee declines to consider Mr. Weeldreyer's amended claim for \$5,812.70, explaining that \$90.59 of the claim was allegedly not processed through the appropriate channels. Therefore, the Committee considers \$5,722.11 (\$5,812.70 minus \$90.59) to be the amount of Mr. Weeldreyer's claimed reimbursement, and, therefore, \$1,701.92, not \$1,792.51, to be the amount in dispute

30 31

32

33

3,4 35 36 Case Closure Under EPS – MUR 6156 General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 3

1 until the filing of this matter Accordingly, given the relatively small amount at issue in this 2 matter, along with the Commission's priorities and resources, and relative to other matters 3 pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this matter. See Heckler 4 5 v Chaney, 470 U S 821 (1985) This Office encourages the Committee to report all disputed debts in its disclosure reports until they are extinguished See 11 C F R §§ 116 10, 104 3(d) 6 7 and 104 11 RECOMMENDATIONS 8 9 The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss 10 MUR 6156, close the file, and approve the appropriate letters 11 Thomasenia P Duncan 12 General Counsel 13 14 (0/9/09 Date 15 BY 16 Gregory R Baker C Special Counsel 17 18 Complaints Examination 19 & Legal Administration 20 21 22 23 24 rvisory Attorney Complaints Examination 25 26 & Legal Administration 27 28 29

Ruth I Healizer

Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration

Attorney